



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,995	02/09/2001	Ken Kutaragi	SCEI 18.302	5881
7590 06/08/2005			EXAMINER	
KATTEN MU	CHIN ZAVIS ROSEN	ALVAREZ, RAQUEL		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK,, NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3622	
			DATE MAILED, 0//09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<b></b>	09/780,995	KUTARAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raquel Alvarez	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statuton. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Eany reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>18 March 1</i> 995.					
	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	numents have been received. numents have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	/SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				

Application/Control Number: 09/780,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 3/18/95.

2. Claims 1-13 are presented for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hertz (5,835,087 hereinafter Herz).

With respect to claims 1, 4-6, 8, 11-13 Herz teaches an in contents-advertising method wherein advertisement information provided beforehand is included in digital contents activated by a user terminal (Summary). Determining that the digital contents have been activated by the user (col. 55, lines 45-54); transferring an identifier of the digital contents and an identifier of the user to an advertising information server when the digital contents have been activated by the user (col. 55, lines 45 to col. 56, lines 1-14); selecting and retrieving advertising information by the advertising information server based on the digital contents identifier and the user identifier and transferring the retrieved advertising information to the user terminal (col. 60, lines 11-20); inserting the retrieved advertising information in the digital contents such that the advertising information is automatically selected and retrieved from the advertising server, transferred to the user terminal and inserted in the digital contents when the digital

contents are activated in the user terminal by the user (col. 55, lines 45 to col. 56 lines 1-14; col. 60, lines 11-20 and col. 61, lines 4-26).

With respect to claims 2-3, Herz further teaches providing the advertising information by the advertising sever to the contents provider for insertion in the digital contents (Figure 1).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz.

Claims 7 and 9, further recite advertising fees based on said recording results. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to charge based on recording/product quality. For example, a low/inferior quality recording or product gets a lower fee that a high quality product or recording in order to compensate for good performance. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included advertising fees based on said recording results in order to obtain the above mentioned advantages.

Claim 10 further recites the advertisers providing the times of the advertisement insertion and providing said ads based on said advertisements information specified from said advertiser. Official notice is taken that is old and well known for advertisers to select the times slots and structure in which they want the advertisements to be displayed to the customers. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the advertisers providing the time of the advertisements insertion because such a modification would allow the advertisers to target the proper audience based on the time period selected.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. The proposed replacement sheets for Figures 7,8 have been accepted.
- 7. The claims amendment overcomes the 101 rejection.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3622

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Point of contact

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

R.A. 6/6/2005